

**Amendments to the Drawings:**

Figures 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 93, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, and 115 have been amended as indicated in red on the enclosed draft figures by removing text for clarity.

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The present paper is in response to the outstanding Advisory Action and is in further response to the final Office Action mailed June 15, 2004, in which Claims 1 through 9, 19 through 22, 24 through 39 and 48 were rejected. Applicants have thoroughly reviewed the outstanding Advisory Action and the outstanding Office Action including the Examiner's remarks and references cited therein. The following remarks and the accompanying Declaration are believed to be fully responsive to the Advisory Action and to the Office Action and are believed to render all claims at issue patentably distinguishable over the cited references.

Several figures are amended herein. Claim 1 is amended herein for clarification and Claim 6 is substantively amended herein for clarity. No claim is cancelled herein. No claim is added herein.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration in light of the following remarks.

### **THE EXAMINER'S ADVISORY ACTION**

In her Advisory Action the Examiner stated that Claims 1 through 5, 7 through 9, 20 through 22, 24, 26, 34 and 39 seem to overcome the rejections established in the previous Office Action. However, the Examiner stated that amended Claim 6 lacked clarity and that the Drawings require significant corrections.

## **PRESENT RESPONSE**

With respect to the rejection of Claim 6, Applicants have amended this claim in such a way as to overcome the rejection. Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added by this change.

With respect to the figures, Applicants have amended Figures 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 93, 94, 95, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, and 115 by removing text for clarity.

Applicants have transferred this text to the specification by amending the description of Figures 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 93, 94, 97, 106, 109, 110, 112, 113, and 114.

Applicants have also cancelled in its entirety Figure 4 and have transferred the text of that figure substantially to the specification.

Because of the extent of the changes to the drawings Applicants submit herewith proposed amendments in draft form. Applicants will provide the Examiner with clean replacement copies once these changes are approved.

Applicants respectfully submit that because the additions to the specification were made entirely based on the text of the drawings as originally submitted that no new matter has been added.


## **CONCLUSION**

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims as currently presented are in condition for

allowance. If, for any reason, the Examiner disagrees, please call the undersigned attorney at 248-433-7552 in an effort to resolve any matter still outstanding *before* issuing another action. The undersigned attorney is confident that any issue which might remain can readily be worked out by telephone.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'T. Moga', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Thomas T. Moga  
Registration No. 34,881  
Attorney for Applicants

BUTZEL LONG  
100 Bloomfield Hills Parkway, Suite 200  
Bloomfield Hills MI 48304  
248-258-4496

Dated: **February 15, 2005**

TTM/hs